

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/061,459	1,459 - 01/31/2002		Steven Teig	SPLX.P0091	. 3711	
23349	7590	12/08/2003	•	EXAMINER		
STATTLEI P O BOX 51	-	NSEN & ADELI	THOMPSON, ANNETTE M			
PALO ALTO, CA 94303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2825	-	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						A.				
			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	V				
Office Action Summary			10/061,459	,	TEIG ET AL.					
			Examin r		Art Unit					
	·		A. M. Thom	- 1	2825					
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh et with th correspondenc address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>21 Ja</u>	<u>nuary 2002</u>							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	action is no	n-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,18 and 22-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6-17 and 19-21 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	on Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 May 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 										
Attachmen	t(s)			_						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: .	•					

Application/Control Number: 10/061,459

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

This application, 10/061,459, has been examined. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/061,459 Page 3

Art Unit: 2825

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the 150 word

limit. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. The disclosure is objected to because it contains embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded

hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1, 18 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Pursuant to claim 1, at step e), replace "determine" with - -determined- -. Pursuant to

claim 18, step a) is already included in claim 2; the claim 18 step must be uniquely

identified. Pursuant to claim 22, after "program", delete "for producing" and insert - -

which when executed by the computer produces--. Appropriate correction is required.

6. Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent

form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is

required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper

dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Neither claim 18 nor claim

2 recites the limitation of "retrieving the replacement sub-network".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/061,459

Art Unit: 2825

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Rejection of claims 1-5, 18 and 22-25

- 8. Claims 1-5, 18, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Allen et al., U.S. Patent 6,574,779.
- 9. Pursuant to claim 1 which recites, [A] method for producing a circuit description of a design, the method comprising a) from the design, selecting a candidate subnetwork that includes multiple elements (col. 2, II. 22-26, schematic subgraph); b) generating a parameter based on a set of output functions performed by the selected candidate sub-network (col. 2, II. 46-47 (matching criteria, parameter rules); c) using the parameter to retrieve a replacement sub-network from a storage structure that stores replacement sub-networks (col. 4, II. 45-47, parameterized pattern library); d) determining whether to replace the selected candidate sub-network with the replacement sub-network in the design (col. 2, II. 52-54); e) if determined to replace the selected candidate sub-network with the replacement sub-network in the design (col. 2, II. 49-52).
- 10. Pursuant to claim 2 which recites [A] method for producing a circuit description of a design, the method comprising a) from the design, selecting a candidate sub-network that includes multiple elements (col. 2, II. 22-26); b) generating a parameter based on a set of output functions performed by the selected candidate sub-network (col. 2, II. 46-47 (matching criteria, parameter rules)); c) using the parameter to retrieve a replacement sub-network from a storage structure that stores replacement sub-

♣Application/Control Number: 10/061,459
 Page 5

Art Unit: 2825

networks (col. 4, II. 45-47, parameterized pattern library); d) replacing the selected candidate sub-network with the replacement sub-network in the design (col. 2, II. 49-52).

- 11. Pursuant to claims 3-5, further comprising identifying a set of output functions performed by the sub-network which includes one or a plurality of output functions (col. 9, II. 32-50).
- 12. Pursuant to claim 18, wherein there is an evaluation to determined whether to replace the candidate sub-network with the replacement sub-network (col. 2, II. 52-54).
- 13. Pursuant to claim 22, it recites the limitations already rejected by claim 1, and further incorporates the limitation of a computer readable medium storing a computer program for performing the claimed method (Fig. 4).
- 14. Pursuant to claims 23-25, wherein the set of output functions includes one or a plurality of output functions (col. 9, II. 32-50) and wherein the candidate sub-network has multiple circuit elements (col. 3, II. 47-64).

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 6-17 and 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please reference the PTO-892 for a complete listing.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to A.M. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/061,459

Art Unit: 2825

7441. The Examiner can usually be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-1323.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 or the Customer Service Center whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

18. Responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for all OFFICIAL communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark

Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Master's Level Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800